



PM9 (2011)

FACULTY OF PAIN MEDICINE

AUSTRALIAN AND NEW ZEALAND COLLEGE OF ANAESTHETISTS

ABN 82 055 042 852

NEUROMODULATION (SPINAL CORD STIMULATION) IN THE MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC PAIN

1. WHAT IS SPINAL CORD STIMULATION?

Stimulation of the spinal cord modifies patients' experience of pain, by replacing the unpleasant sensation with paraesthesiae that may be considered pleasurable. Spinal cord stimulator (SCS) systems deliver low voltage electrical pulses to afferent nerve fibres within the dorsal columns. Pulses are delivered via an electrode that is placed near the spinal cord, percutaneously or by surgical implantation. This electrode is connected to and powered by a neurostimulator device that is surgically implanted under the skin. The electrode must be carefully positioned so that the area of paresthesia overlaps that in which pain is experienced. The patient can turn the stimulator on and off as required, and may vary the stimulation parameters within limits set by the supervising physician.

Neurostimulators may be battery-powered implanted pulse generators (IPG) or radio frequency devices that receive energy in the form of radio wave pulses from an external source. Non-rechargeable batteries used in IPGs have a life of two to eight years; rechargeable batteries may be used for patients requiring high currents. Selection of the type of device used and the neurostimulator parameters applied are the responsibility of specialist SCS clinical teams, and depend on the type, intensity and location of pain.

SCS has been reported as cost-effective compared with other invasive pain management techniques where there is good evidence of clinical benefit, such as failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS) and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). Evidence of comparative efficacy from randomised clinical trials is needed to determine the cost-effectiveness in other indications.

SCS is invasive, very expensive and labour-intensive, with potential complications and long term issues. It requires operation and supervision by specialists with specific skills and judgement and who are prepared to be involved with long-term management of the patient.

Successful SCS requires careful patient selection, work-up and management, even for patients with good indications. An experienced multidisciplinary pain management team that can deliver a range of pain therapies and provide long-term follow-up after SCS implantation is essential. The SCS team should implant and manage a number of patients sufficient to maintain competence.

2. INDICATIONS FOR SPINAL CORD STIMULATION

The literature identifies three broad categories of indications for SCS, by likelihood of response:

Conditions likely to respond:

- Failed back surgical syndrome
- Refractory angina pectoris
- Complex regional pain syndrome
- Neuropathic pain secondary to peripheral nerve damage

Conditions that may respond:

- Pain associated with peripheral vascular disease
- Brachial plexopathy: traumatic (partial, not avulsion), post irradiation
- Axial pain following surgery
- Intercostal neuralgia, such as post-thoracotomy
- Other peripheral neuropathic pain syndromes, such as those following trauma,

Conditions that rarely respond:

- Pain associated with spinal cord damage
- Central pain of non-spinal cord origin
- Spinal cord injury with clinically complete loss of posterior column function
- Perineal or anorectal pain

3. SELECTION OF SUBJECTS FOR SPINAL CORD STIMULATION

SCS may be considered in patients with the above conditions who have undergone comprehensive multidisciplinary assessment and management and in whom conservative treatment has failed. Essentially SCS is a trial-of-therapy, to determine its effectiveness in an individual patient's predicament. As such SCS should be part of an ongoing multimodal management plan with a prominent psychosocial component. At least two experienced pain specialists should assess a patient for consideration of SCS.

Contraindications for SCS include general contraindications to surgery, uncontrolled bleeding disorder, systemic or local sepsis and usage of illicit drugs.

Relative contraindications include ongoing anticoagulant therapy, immune suppression and the presence of a cardiac pacemaker or implanted defibrillator (as the pulse generator may compromise function of these devices). Cognitive impairment may preclude SCS if the patient is unable to understand the treatment, unless adequate support from carer or community services is available.

Situations that do not necessarily constitute a contraindication to SCS but which require amelioration prior to the procedure include psychological disorders such as active psychosis

or major mood disorder, inappropriate use of alcohol or prescription medication, and unstable social or environmental circumstances.

4. PATIENT MANAGEMENT BY THE SCS TEAM

All patients being considered for SCS should undergo multidisciplinary assessment of physical, psychological and social functioning. Ideally, assessment should be carried out within a multidisciplinary pain centre.

The goals of SCS therapy should be discussed with the patient. The main goal is improvement of quality of life (including improved physical and social functioning); other goals may include reduction (not elimination) of pain, return to work and reduced requirement for medication, such as opioids.

All patients should undergo a trial lasting seven to ten days, during which SCS is delivered using an external stimulator device temporarily connected to implanted leads. To be successful, such a trial must result in patient-reported pain relief of at least 50% during appropriate (provocative) physical activity. Stable or reduced analgesic consumption and improved daily activity, social function and sleep may also be considered as factors indicating benefit. The screening trial provides important information that will influence the choice of lead and stimulator to be implanted and the optimum stimulator configuration.

An unsuccessful trial of stimulation is a contraindication to SCS implantation.

5. POTENTIAL PROBLEMS WITH SPINAL CORD STIMULATION

Major complications with SCS are rare, but minor complications can be common. The most common is lead migration which may require surgical intervention. Neurological damage relating to epidural electrode placement and infection of implanted neurostimulators are rare but serious complications requiring prompt attention from an experienced SCS team.

Early complications include:

- Epidural haemorrhage: rare but serious, requiring exploration and evacuation
- Superficial infection: may require removal of the implant
- Epidural infection: rare but requires removal of implant

Delayed complications include:

- Lead migration: may occur at any time
- Lead fracture, system malfunction
- Delayed cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis: rare

Other long-term issues of which patients should be aware include:

- Battery life: SCS systems with non-rechargeable batteries will need to be replaced surgically at some stage.
- Security systems: Airport and other security systems may be activated by a stimulator. Patients should carry relevant documentation about the device at all times.

- Diathermy: Short wave diathermy, microwave diathermy and therapeutic ultrasound diathermy are hazardous for patients with an SCS implant. If possible, unipolar diathermy should be avoided.
- Pacemaker: Electrical activity from an SCS device may be misinterpreted by a cardiac pacemaker, leading to potentially dangerous pacemaker malfunction. A cardiac pacemaker is a relative contraindication for SCS, but if considered necessary, bipolar rather than unipolar pacemaker sensing should be employed.
- MRI: The magnetic field may produce SCS lead movement, resulting in loss of effect or neuronal damage, or heating of the implant components, leading to discomfort, tissue damage or software malfunction. The presence of the SCS implant may cause MRI image corruption. The need for MRI should be discussed with an experienced neuroradiologist who has full details of the make, model and serial number of the implant and alternative imaging techniques considered. The SCS implant may need to be removed to allow MRI.

6. REFERENCES

- Atkinson L et al. Review: Recommendations for patient selection in spinal cord stimulation. J Clinical Neuroscience 2011; 18(10): 1295 - 1302.
- British Pain Society. Spinal cord stimulation for the management of pain: recommendations for best clinical practice. April 2009. www.britishpainsociety.org
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain of neuropathic or ischaemic origin. October 2008. www.nice.org.uk
- Practice parameters for the use of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic neuropathic pain. Pain Medicine 2007;8(S4):S199-S273.
- Neurostimulation for the management of refractory chronic pain syndromes. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 2006;31(4S):S1-S42.

FACULTY OF PAIN MEDICINE PROFESSIONAL DOCUMENTS

POLICY – defined as ‘a course of action adopted and pursued by the Faculty. These are matters coming within the authority and control of the Faculty.

RECOMMENDATIONS – defined as ‘advisable courses of action’.

GUIDELINES – defined as ‘a document offering advice’. These may be clinical (in which case they will eventually be evidence-based), or non-clinical.

STATEMENTS – defined as ‘a communication setting out information’.

This document has been prepared having regard to general circumstances, and it is the responsibility of the practitioner to have express regard to the particular circumstances of each case, and the application of this policy document in each case.

Professional documents are reviewed from time to time, and it is the responsibility of the practitioner to ensure that the practitioner has obtained the current version. Professional documents have been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of their preparation, and the

practitioner should therefore have regard to any information, research or material which may have been published or become available subsequently.

Whilst the College and Faculty endeavours to ensure that documents are as current as possible at the time of their preparation, they take no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material which may have become available subsequently.

Promulgated: 2011
Date of current document: August 2011